




9 - 11 MAY 2023
KRAKÓW, POLAND

Iceland 
Liechtenstein 
Norway grants 
Norway grants



INNOVATION
IN DISASTER PREVENTION



Prime
Minister

HONORARY PATRONAGE
MATEUSZ MORAWIECKI



CHALLENGES
SOLUTIONS
AND OPPORTUNITIES




Why are we here?




We are here to connect

- Donors:

- Norway
- Iceland
- Lichtenstein



- Beneficiary EEA-/Norway Grants countries



Why connect?

- Improve disaster resilience
- Increase knowledge on Sendai Framework DRR
- Promote cross-border learning, enhanced mutual knowledge and understanding
- Share good practices
- Boost regional cooperation within Sendai Framework for DRR
- Promote new technologies within disaster prevention and preparedness
- **Promote EEA-/Norway Grants as tool for preparedness and resilience building**



Conference Paper – Innovation in Disaster Prevention

Justification and background	Scope	Objectives	Goals of the conference
Gaps and challenges identified	The Midterm Review of the Implementation of the Sendai Framework for DRR 2015- 2030	Roadmap 2021-2030 for a Disaster Resilient European and Central Asian Region	Innovation in Disaster Prevention
	Expected products	Case studies – best practice	





Template for Case studies

RCB CBK dsb Iceland Liechtenstein Norway grants

Name of the respondent(s):	Organisation(s):	Country:

Email: _____

Please feel free to take as much space as you need to provide your response to each question.

1. Title of the initiative (policy/regulation/measure)
Your response: _____

2. Provide a short description of the initiative and of the context in which it was developed; e.g.

- What problem/challenge was it trying to solve?
- What sort of improvements were you hoping to achieve?
- Description of type of challenge/problem that the policy, regulation, measure or project aim to manage
- Overview of responsible authorities
- Whether and how any transboundary coordination has been pursued.

Your response: _____

3. How was the initiative implemented (e.g., preparatory work, testing, process of implementation, feedback, etc.) and did it require additional resources, training?

Your response: _____

4. Indicate any challenges in the implementation of the initiative, including any setbacks, improvements and changes that were needed to achieve a satisfactory result.

Your response: _____

5. Describe the observed benefits of the initiative following its implementation.

Your response: _____

RCB CBK dsb Iceland Liechtenstein Norway grants

6. Are there any lessons learnt you would like to share from the implementation of this initiative?

Your response: _____

7. If there are any relevant documents or weblinks, that help understand how the practice works, please indicate it in the space below and provide the link or attach the document. The documentation does not have to be in English.

Your response: _____



PRODUCTS FROM THE CONFERENCE

- Document developed based on presentations and with discussions during the conference
- Conference report by end June 2023
 - Report on different uses of geoinformation
 - Best practices
 - Gaps and challenges identified

Encourage regional/transborder cooperation

The report would give input to projects financed by EEA-/Norway Grants



Three Breakout Groups

10 May, 11:45

- Climate Change adaptation
- Energy Transition
- Early Warning Systems



To be discussed in groups

Scope

Status /situation in countries

Challenges

Examples to share – solutions

Opportunities



BREAK OUT GROUPS

- Decide which *Break Out Group* you will participate during coffee break or by the end of Day 1

**BREAK-OUT GROUP
no 1**
Climate change adaptation

**BREAK-OUT GROUP
no 2**
Energy transition

**BREAK-OUT GROUP
no 3**
Early Warning Systems

- You will find lists by the reception.



*THANK YOU
FOR YOUR ATTENTION!*

